



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/705,233	11/12/2003	Tomohiro Wakabayashi	Q78419 3543		
23373	7590 05/31/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			LE, THANH TAM T		
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/200	DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/705,233	WAKABAYASHI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839			

Defense the Fillman of an Annual Duief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amondmon	+ /DTOL 224\				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant's arguments filed 5/20/05 have been fully contour 10 cannot reasonably correspond to the ferrule, but this into which a core wire of an optical fiber (34) is inserted ferrule, the Examiner interprets Borsuk's retention devices.	nsidered but they are not persuasives retention device 10 having a main d. Since Borsk's retention device 1	e. Although Borsuk's n body (16), formed w	retention device vith a hole (18)				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:		No(s).					
		Thereb Town T !					

Thanh-Tam T. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2839